

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/816,938	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WANG, BAIYANG	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael Szperka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) William Coblentz.

**Date of Interview:** 22 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** after final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4PM

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

33

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Michael Szperka, Ph.D./  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)